## Answers to Selected Exercises in Real and Complex Analysis<sup>\*</sup>

Solutions by

MARCEL K. GOH

## **CHAPTER 1. ABSTRACT INTEGRATION**

**2.** Put  $f_n = \chi_E$  if n is odd,  $f_n = 1 - \chi_E$  if n is even. What is the relevance of this example to Fatou's lemma?

This gives an example of strict inequality arising. Let X be a measure space such that  $\mu(X) = 1$  and let  $E \subseteq X$  be such that  $\mu(E) = 2/3$ . Then

$$\int_X \liminf_{n \to \infty} f_n \, d\mu = \int_X 0 \, d\mu = 0,$$

while on the other hand

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} \int_X f_n \, d\mu = \int_X 1 - \chi_E \, d\mu = \int_X 1 \, d\mu - \int_X \chi_E \, d\mu = \mu(X) - \mu(E) = \frac{1}{3},$$

and we have 0 < 1/3.

**3.** Suppose  $f_n : X \to [0,\infty]$  is measurable for  $n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, f_1 \ge f_2 \ge f_3 \ge \cdots \ge 0, f_n(x) \to f(x)$  as  $n \to \infty$  for every  $x \in X$ , and  $f_1 \in L^1(\mu)$ . Prove that then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_X f_n \, d\mu = \int_X f \, d\mu$$

and show that this conclusion does not follow if the condition " $f_1 \in L^1(\mu)$ " is omitted.

*Proof.* Consider the sequence of functions  $(f_1 - f_n)$ . This sequence is nonnegative, nondecreasing and for any  $x \in X$ ,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} (f_1 - f_n)(x) = f_1(x) - f(x)$ . So by the monotone convergence theorem,

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_X f_1 - f_n \, d\mu = \int_X f_1 - f \, d\mu.$$

Since the integrals of  $f_n$  are finite, we can apply Theorem 1.27 to get

$$\int_X f_1 d\mu - \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_X f_n d\mu = \int_X f_1 d\mu - \int_X f d\mu,$$

which gives us what we want after subtracting  $\int_X f_1 d\mu$  (which is finite) and multiplying by -1.

The condition  $f_1 \in L^1(\mu)$  is necessary. Let I = [0, 1] and  $f_n = \frac{1}{nx^2}$ , which converges to the constant function 0. Then  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_I f_n d\mu = +\infty$  but  $\int_I 0 d\mu = 0$ .

**4.** Prove that if f is a real function on a measurable space X such that  $\{x : f(x) \ge r\}$  is measurable for every rational r, then f is measurable.

*Proof.* We immediately infer that for rational r and s,  $f^{-1}([r,s))$  is measurable, since

$$f^{-1}([r,s)) = \{x : f(x) \ge r\} \cap \{x : f(x) \ge s\}^c.$$

<sup>\*</sup> Walter Rudin. 1966. Real and complex analysis. McGraw-Hill, Inc., USA.

Next, let  $I \subseteq \mathbf{R}$  be an open interval. Setting  $J = I \cap \mathbf{Q}$ , we can show that  $f^{-1}(I)$  is measurable, since

$$f^{-1}(I) = f^{-1}\left(\bigcup_{r \in J} \bigcup_{s \in J} [r, s)\right) = \bigcup_{r \in J} \bigcup_{s \in J} f^{-1}([r, s))$$

is a countable union of measurable sets.

Now let  $V \subseteq \mathbf{R}$  be open. By Lindelöf's lemma, we can express V as a countable union of open intervals  $V = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$ . Then

$$f^{-1}(V) = f^{-1}\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n\right) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} f^{-1}(I_n)$$

is measurable.

**5.** Prove that the set of points at which a sequence of measurable real-valued functions converges (to a finite limit) is measurable.

*Proof.* Let  $(f_n)$  be a sequence of real-valued measurable functions. Then  $(f_n)$  converges at a point x if and only if it is Cauchy at x, i.e. for any  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $m, n \ge N$ ,  $|f_m(x) - f_n(x)| < \epsilon$ . By the Archimedean property, we can replace  $\epsilon$  with 1/k for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . So the set of all points at which  $(f_n)$  converges can be written thus:

$$\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty}\bigcup_{N=1}^{\infty}\bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty}\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty}\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\{x:|f_m(x)-f_n(x)|<1/k\right\}$$

Set  $g_{m,n} = f_m - f_n$ ; this is a measurable function. Hence the set

$$\left\{x: |f_m(x) - f_n(x)| < 1/k\right\} = \left\{x: |g_{m,n}(x)| < 1/k\right\} = g_{m,n}^{-1} \left((-1/k, 1/k)\right)$$

is measurable for every m, n, and k. We have thus proved that the set of all points at which  $(f_n)$  converges is a countable union of measurable sets.

**6.** Let X be an uncountable set, let  $\mathcal{M}$  be the collection of all sets  $E \subseteq X$  such that either E or  $E^c$  is at most countable, and define  $\mu(E) = 0$  in the first case,  $\mu(E) = 1$  in the second. Prove that  $\mathcal{M}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra in X and that  $\mu$  is a measure on  $\mathcal{M}$ . Describe the corresponding measurable functions and their integrals.

First we prove that  $\mathcal{M}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra and that  $\mu$  is a measure.

Proof. Since  $\emptyset$  is countable,  $X \in \mathcal{M}$ . Then for any set  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ , either E or  $E^c$  is at most countable; in any case  $E^c \in \mathcal{M}$ . Lastly, let  $\{E_n\}$  be a collection of sets in  $\mathcal{M}$ . If every set  $E_n$  is at most countable, then  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$  is countable and thus in  $\mathcal{M}$ . Otherwise, there is some k for which  $E_k$  is uncountable. Then  $E_k^c \in \mathcal{M}$  is at most countable and  $\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n\right)^c = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n^c \subseteq E_k^c$  is countable, so  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$  is in  $\mathcal{M}$ . This shows that  $\mathcal{M}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

It is clear that the range of  $\mu$  is in  $[0,\infty]$ . To show that  $\mu$  is countably additive, let  $\{E_n\}$  be a disjoint collection of sets in  $\mathcal{M}$ . If every  $E_n$  is at most countable, then  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$  is at most countable and  $\mu(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n) = 0 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_n)$ . Otherwise, there exists  $E_k$  such that  $E_k^c$  is countable and thus we have  $\mu(E_k) = 1$  and  $\mu(E_k^c) = 0$ . Since the  $E_n$  are pairwise disjoint,  $E_n \subseteq E_k^c$  for all  $n \neq k$ . So  $\mu(E_n) = 0$  for all  $n \neq k$ . So  $\mu(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n) = 1 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_n)$  and  $\mu$  is a measure.

Now we claim that the measurable functions are those that are constant at all but countably many points.

*Proof.* It suffices to prove this for a real-valued function. Suppose  $f: X \to [-\infty, \infty]$  is measurable. For any  $a \in \mathbf{R}$ , let  $E_a = f^{-1}([-\infty, a))$ . Note that for any a, either  $E_a$  is countable or  $E_a^c$  is countable. Note also that if  $a \leq b$ , then  $E_a \subseteq E_b$ . So let there is a constant c such that

$$k = \sup\{a : E_a \text{ is countable}\}.$$

This supremum is not  $-\infty$ , since if it were, then  $E_a^c$  would be countable for all  $a \in \mathbf{R}$ , and since  $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{-n} = \emptyset$ ,  $X = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{-n}^c$  is countable, a contradiction. By a similar argument, we know that the supremum is not

 $\infty$ . So  $k \in \mathbf{R}$  and there exists a sequence  $(a_n)$  all of whose terms are less than k and whose limit is k. Hence  $E_k = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{a_n}$  is countable. Now let  $(b_n)$  be a sequence that converges to k such that  $b_n > k$  for all n. Then note that if f(x) > k, then  $f(x) \in [b_n, \infty) = E_{b_n}^c$  for some n. So

$$\{x: f(x) \neq k\} = E_k \cup \{x: f(x) > k\} \subseteq E_k \cup \left(\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{b_n}^c\right)$$

is countable and f equals k at all but countably many points.

Lastly, we show that if f is measurable and takes on the value k at all but countably many points, then  $\int_E f d\mu = k$  for all uncountable  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ . (If E is countable then  $\int_E f d\mu = 0$ .)

Proof. Let  $E \in \mathcal{M}$  be uncountable; so  $\mu(E) = 1$ . Let s be a simple measurable function such that  $0 \leq s \leq f$ . Suppose that s takes values  $\alpha_i$  on the n disjoint sets  $A_i$  that cover X. We know that one of the  $\alpha_i$ , call it  $\alpha_j$ , is equal to a constant  $k_s$  and that  $A_i$  is at most countable for all  $i \neq j$ . So for all  $i \neq j$ ,  $A_i \cap E$  is countable and  $A_j \cap E$  must be uncountable. Then we have

$$\int_E s \, d\mu = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \mu(A_i \cap E) = \alpha_j \mu(A_j \cap E) = k_s \cdot 1 = k_s,$$

where  $0 \le k_s \le k$ . So we have

$$\int_E f \, d\mu = \sup\left\{\int_E s \, d\mu : 0 \le s \le f\right\} = \sup\{k_s : 0 \le s \le f\} = k,$$

which is what we had to show.

7. Does there exist an infinite  $\sigma$ -algebra which has only countably many members?

The answer is no.

*Proof.* Let X be a ground set and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a  $\sigma$ -algebra (over X) with infinitely many members. First we claim that we can always find a set  $E \neq \emptyset$  such that the set  $\{F \cap E^c : F \in \mathcal{F}\}$  is infinite. If this did not hold, then take any  $E \neq \emptyset$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ . Then by assumption,  $\mathcal{S}_1 = \{F \cap E^c : F \in \mathcal{F}\}$  is finite and because  $E^c \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $\mathcal{S}_2 = \{F \cap E : F \in \mathcal{F}\}$  is finite as well. Since any member of  $\mathcal{F}$  can be expressed as a union of an element of  $\mathcal{S}_1$  with an element of  $\mathcal{S}_2$ , the implies that  $\mathcal{F}$  is finite, a contradiction.

Now we may use the claim to construct a countable sequence of pairwise disjoint elements of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Let  $G_1$  be the set E given by the claim. Now the infinite set  $\mathcal{S}_1$  we constructed before is also a  $\sigma$ -algebra, so repeat the argument to get a set  $G_2$ , disjoint from  $G_1$ . Continuing in this manner, we obtain a sequence  $G_1, G_2, \ldots$  where the  $G_i$  are pairwise disjoint. Now we see that the map from the power set of the natural numbers to  $\mathcal{F}$  given by

$$A \mapsto \bigcup_{i \in A} G_i$$

is injective. So the uncountability of  $\mathcal{F}$  follows from the uncountability of  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{N})$ .